



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		www.uapto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETANG	<u> </u>
09/903,058	07/11/2001		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		James Hardin	45578/LHP/A673	9393
	90 04/23/2003			•
HOGAN & H	ARTSON L.L.P.			
500 S. GRAND	AVENUE		EXAMINER	
SUITE 1900			OMGBA, ESSAMA	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611		i .		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	
				/ .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/903,058	HARDIN, JAMES
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Essama Omgba	0.000
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states after the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states after the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MICATION. S of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. O days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin attutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing data of this appropriate in
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	
1 0-1	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for formal mat ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ard		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	accepted or b) objected to built	. = .
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be hold in shown	e Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) annroyed b) dis	Ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	rired in reply to this Office action	approved by the Examiner.
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. s.	140(-) (1)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ander 33 U.S.C. 9	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority do	Ocuments have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	Ocuments have been received in A	<b></b>
Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	the priority documents have been	lication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not rec	Peived
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a) (to a provisional and it
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a	ISOE Drovisional application to 1	
		Siluior 121,
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	4) ☐ Interview Sum .948) 5) ☐ Notice of Infor r No(s) <u>5</u> . 6) ☐ Other:	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/903,058

Art Unit: 3726

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 2, line 16, --and-- should be inserted after "casing", on page 6, line 27, "long" should read --along--, on page 7, line 14, "also plug" should be deleted, and on page 12, line 14, --to-- should be inserted after "Due".

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 7-10, and 14-19 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claims 7 and 18, "tube' in line 5 of each claim should read --pipe-- in order to use consistent language through the claims and distinguish the tube of the plunger assembly from the damaged pipe, in claims 8, 9, 14 and 15, --of-- should be inserted after "formed" in line 2 of each claim; in claim 10, line 25, --the-- should be inserted before "barrel" in order to properly recite the claim; in claim 16, line 4, --plurality of-- should be inserted before "clips" in order to properly recite the claim; and in claim 19, lines 23 and 27, "portion' should read --section-- in order to use consistent language through out the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,058

Art Unit: 3726

- 4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding claims 1, 10, 19 and 20, the phrase "and the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "and the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). The disclosure is silent as to what is encompassed bi "and the like".
- 6. Claims 7 and 18 recite the limitation "the inner wall" in line 4 of each claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bigelow (US Patent 1,380,182).

Bigelow discloses a liner 1 for lining well pipes, the liner comprising a sheet of springlike material having two longitudinal edges, both edges having a plurality of slots or holes of predetermined spacing, see column 1 of page 1, lines 52-56, and column 2 of Application/Control Number: 09/903,058

Art Unit: 3726

page 1, lines 57-66. Applicant should note that the liner of Bigelow is formed from a flat sheet and is capable of being used to patch a damaged pipe section.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bigelow in view of Gilleland (US Patent 5,042,532).

Bigelow discloses a patch as shown above except for the corners of the first longitudinal edge being formed with a chamfer. However Gilleland teaches a patch 12 for sealing pipes wherein corners of longitudinal edges are provided with chamfers (15, 17), see column 4, lines 37-52. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided the corners of the longitudinal edges of the patch of Bigelow with chamfers, in light of the teachings of Gilleland, in order to provide uniform thickness of the pipe about the entire circumference.

## Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 1-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (703) 305-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (703) 308-1513. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3580 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Somfa

eo 20 April 19, 2003